Multivariate: MANOVA and repeated measures ANOVA

Table of contents

1 Goals

1.1 Goals

1.1.1 Goals of this section

- **Multiple measures** of the same thing or related things as an **outcome**
	- **–** Possibly over time
- Want the variables **separate**: Not PCA / FA
- In this section:
	- **–** MANOVA (this week)
	- **–** Repeated measures ANOVA (this week)
	- **–** Mixed models (next week)
	- **–** Mediation (2 weeks)

1.1.2 Goals of this lecture

- Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA)
	- **–** Outcome is **multivariate**: Several outcome variables
- Repeated measures ANOVA (RM ANOVA)
	- **– Univariate**: *Single* outcome variable, measured multiple times
	- **– Multivariate**: *Multiple* outcome variables
- Punchline: MANOVA is almost never a good choice
	- **–** But multivariate RM ANOVA is a decent approach

2 MANOVA

2.1 Univariate to multivariate

2.1.1 Extending ANOVA to multiple outcomes

- Frequently interested in more than 1 outcome at a time
	- **–** Anxiety
		- ∗ Test anxiety, minor stressor anxiety, general anxiety
	- **–** Children's school achievement
		- ∗ Reading ability, reasoning ability, math ability
	- **–** Performance on a task
		- ∗ Speed and accuracy

2.1.2 Could do GLM on each outcome but…

- …you (often) shouldn't
	- **– Inflated type I error** due to multiple tests on *correlated* outcomes
	- **–** Sometimes only the **combination** of the outcomes shows an effect
	- **–** Ignore **relations between DVs**

2.1.3 Structure of this section

- Review (univariate) between-subjects ANOVA
	- **–** One outcome
- Extend to multivariate version
	- **–** Multiple related outcomes

2.1.4 Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA)

- Independent variables (IVs) are **categorical groups**
	- **–** e.g., treatment and control
- Independent variables are called **factors**
	- **–** Not to be confused with latent factors
- **Single** outcome variable (DV)
	- **–** Continuous, normally distributed

2.1.5 ANOVA hypotheses are about the means

- One factor ANOVA
	- k levels of the independent variable
	- $-$ Null hypothesis: All k group means are equal
		- * $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k$

2.1.6 ANOVA hypotheses are about the means

- Two factor ANOVA
	- k levels of one IV, m levels of other IV
	- **–** 3 null hypotheses
		- $*$ Main effect 1: All k means across factor 1 are equal
		- $*$ Main effect 2: All m means across factor 2 are equal
		- ∗ Interaction: All cell means are equal

2.1.7 Partitioned variation

- Partition the variation in scores into:
	- $-$ between-subject portion (group differences, $SS_{between}$)
	- within-subject portion (error, SS_{within})

$$
-SS_{total} = SS_{between} + SS_{within}
$$

- Calculate based on *observed scores*, *group means*, *grand mean*
	- $-X_{fi}$ = score for subject f in condition i
	- $-\bar{T}_i$ = mean for scores in condition *i*
	- $-\vec{G}$ = grand mean of all scores in the study

2.1.8 Partitioned variation

• Between group variation:

$$
SS_{between}=n\Sigma(\bar{T}_i-\bar{G})^2=
$$

$$
n[(\bar{T}_1-\bar{G})^2+(\bar{T}_2-\bar{G})^2+\cdots+(\bar{T}_k-\bar{G})^2]
$$

• Within group variation:

$$
SS_{within} = \Sigma (X_{fi} - \bar{T}_i)^2 =
$$

$$
(X_{1i} - \bar{T}_i)^2 + (X_{2i} - \bar{T}_i)^2 + \cdots + (X_{ni} - \bar{T}_i)^2
$$

2.1.9 Testing the hypothesis

$$
MS_{between} = \frac{SS_{between}}{k-1}
$$

$$
MS_{within} = \frac{SS_{within}}{k(n-1)}
$$

$$
F = \frac{MS_{between}}{MS_{within}}
$$

• Compare observed F to critical $F(k-1, k(n-1))$

 $-$ Significant test $=$ at least one of the k groups is different from the other groups

2.2 MANOVA model

2.2.1 Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA)

- Independent variables are **categorical groups**
	- **–** e.g., treatment and control
- Independent variables are called **factors**
	- **–** Not to be confused with latent factors
- **Multiple** outcome variables
	- *p* outcome variables
	- **–** Continuous, normally distributed

2.2.2 What does MANOVA do with all those outcomes?

- MANOVA creates a **linear combination** of the p outcome variables
	- **–** Constructed to *separate* the groups as much as possible
	- **–** "Maximally discriminating linear combination"
- Look for group differences on the linear combination
- If you can't find differences on the **maximally discriminating linear combination** of all the DVs, then there really really aren't group differences on the DVs

2.2.3 MANOVA questions

- Do the groups differ at all?
	- **–** On the maximally discriminating linear combination
- If yes, post hoc:
	- **–** Which DVs have groups differences?
	- **–** Which groups differ on those DVs?

2.2.4 Covariation matrix of outcomes P

- Covariation matrix of the p DVs: $p \times p$ matrix
	- Multivariate extension of SS_{total}
- Just like ANOVA: Partitions into **between** (**H**) and **within** (**E**)

$$
\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} SS_1 & SP_{12} & \cdots & SP_{1p} \\ SP_{21} & SS_2 & \cdots & SP_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ SP_{p1} & SP_{p2} & \cdots & SS_p \end{bmatrix}
$$

2.2.5 Hypothesis matrix H

- Multivariate extension of $SS_{between}$: $p \times p$ matrix
	- **–** Diagonal: between-group **variation** of each DV
	- **–** Off-diagonal: **covariation** between means for pairs of DVs

$$
\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} SS_{H,1} & SP_{H,12} & \cdots & SP_{H,1p} \\ SP_{H,21} & SS_{H,2} & \cdots & SP_{H,2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ SP_{H,p1} & SP_{H,p2} & \cdots & SS_{H,p} \end{bmatrix}
$$

2.2.6 Aside: H matrix for two-factor MANOVA

- For a one-factor MANOVA, there is a single **H** matrix
- For a two-factor MANOVA, there is a single **H** matrix
	- **–** BUT it can be further partitioned into 3 matrices reflecting:
		- ∗ Main effect 1
		- ∗ Main effect 2
		- ∗ Interaction effect

2.2.7 Error matrix E

- Multivariate extension of SS_{within} : $p \times p$ matrix
	- **–** Diagonal: within-group **variation** of each DV, added across grp
	- **–** Off-diagonal: error **covariation**, added across groups

• **No between-group information in this matrix**

$$
\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} SS_{E,1} & SP_{E,12} & \cdots & SP_{E,1p} \\ SP_{E,21} & SS_{E,2} & \cdots & SP_{E,2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ SP_{E,p1} & SP_{E,p2} & \cdots & SS_{E,p} \end{bmatrix}
$$

2.2.8 Partitioned variation

• ANOVA

$$
-SS_{total} = SS_{between} + SS_{within}
$$

- MANOVA
	- **–** Total variation = between-group variation + within-group variation
	- $-$ One factor: $P = H + E$
	- $-$ Two factor: $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{H}_{factor1} + \mathbf{H}_{factor2} + \mathbf{H}_{factor1*factor2} + \mathbf{E}$

2.2.9 Multivariate hypothesis tests (omnibus)

- ANOVA
	- $-$ Divide SS by their degrees of freedom to produce MS (variances)
	- $-$ *F*-statistic is ratio of *MS*s (variances)
- MANOVA
	- **–** Use matrix equivalent of variance: **Determinant**
		- ∗ Determinant is "generalized variance" for a matrix
	- $-$ Create analogues to F -statistics
	- **–** Unfortunately, it's not straight-forward

2.2.10 Multivariate hypothesis tests

- Four commonly used multivariate tests
	- **–** Different ratio of determinants or eigenvalues
- Wilks' lambda: within / total
- Pillai's trace: between / total
- Hotelling's trace: between / within
- Roy's largest characteristic root: between / total

2.2.11 Wilks' lambda

$$
\bullet \ \Lambda = \frac{|\mathbf{E}|}{|\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{E}|} = \frac{|\mathbf{E}|}{|\mathbf{P}|}
$$

– where |**E**| is the determinant of **E**

• H_0 : no between-group variation, so **H** is all zeroes and ratio is 1

 $-$ As group differences increase, $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$

- Effect size = eta squared = $\eta^2 = 1 \Lambda$
	- $-\eta^2$ = variance accounted for by the best linear combination of DVs

2.2.12 Pillai's trace

- Pillai's trace = $trace$ $[H(H + E)^{-1}]$
	- **–** where the **trace** of a matrix is the **sum of the diagonal elements**
- Conceptually:
	- **–** Matrix representing **proportion of variation that is between-group**
	- **–** Sum of *eigenvalues* from that matrix

2.2.13 Hotelling's trace

- Hotelling's trace $= trace [\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{E})^{-1}]$
	- **–** where the **trace** of a matrix is the **sum of the diagonal elements**
- Conceptually:
	- **–** Matrix representing **ratio of between- to within-group variation**
	- **–** Sum of *eigenvalues* from that matrix

2.2.14 Roy's largest characteristic root

- Roy's greatest characteristic root = first eigenvalue of $H(H + E)^{-1}$
- Conceptually:
	- **–** Matrix representing **proportion of variation that is between-group**
	- **–** *First eigenvalue* from that matrix

2.2.15 Summary of multivariate tests

These tests are similar, but they differ in terms of **power** and **robustness to violations** of assumptions

2.2.16 Assumptions of MANOVA

- GLM: Multivariate normality of outcomes, linearity, etc
- "Homogeneity of variance-covariance matrices"
	- **–** Error matrix is same in all groups and **E** is average
	- **–** Multivariate extension of homogeneity of variance assumption
- Box's M test to test this assumption
	- **–** Significant test means that assumption is violated
	- $-$ Sensitive: use $p < .001$, ignore unless *ns* very different across groups

2.2.17 Which test should I use???

- One factor MANOVA with $k = 2$ groups: All tests are identical
- **Recommended**: Pillai's trace
	- **–** Robust to assumptions, powerful when DVs not highly corr
- **Recommended**: Wilks' lambda
	- **–** Good power, relatively robust when assumptions probably met
- **Maybe use**: Roy's greatest characteristic root
	- **–** Powerful when DVs highly corr, not robust to assumptions
- **Not recommended**: Hotelling's trace
	- **–** OK when sample size is very large

2.3 Summary and alternatives

2.3.1 MANOVA

- Extends ANOVA to multiple outcomes
	- **–** Many omnibus test options
	- **–** Many follow-up options
	- **–** Maximally discriminating linear combination?
	- **–** Missing data, ANOVA framework only, time
- Quantitude says [MANOVA must die](https://quantitudepod.org/s2e09-manova-must-die/)

2.3.2 MANOVA questions

- Do the groups differ at all (on max discriminating linear comb.)?
	- **–** This is what Pillai's trace, etc are testing
- **If yes, post hoc**:
	- **–** Which DVs have groups differences?
	- **–** Which groups differ on those DVs?
	- **–** Enders, C. K. (2003). Performing multivariate group comparisons following a statistically significant MANOVA. Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 36, 40-56.

2.3.3 When to use MANOVA?

- DVs are **highly negatively correlated**
	- **–** Time to complete a task and number of errors on task
- DVs are **all moderately correlated** in either direction
	- **–** Around ±0.6 correlation
	- **–** Not really high enough to support a latent factor
	- **–** Repeated measures

2.3.4 When not to use MANOVA?

- DVs are **not really correlated**
	- **–** MANOVA is unnecessarily complicated and wasteful
	- **–** You don't gain anything by analyzing them together
- DVs are all **highly positively correlated**
	- **–** MANOVA is unnecessarily complicated and wasteful
	- **–** The variables are all basically the same thing

2.3.5 Alternatives to MANOVA

- Repeated-measures DVs:
	- **– Repeated measures ANOVA**
	- **– Mixed / multilevel / hierarchical linear models**
	- **–** Latent growth models
- Separate univariate ANOVAs: esp *uncorrelated* DVs
- SEM / path model with multiple DVs
- Latent factor: esp highly *correlated* DVs

3 Repeated measures ANOVA

3.1 Overview / review

3.1.1 Between-subjects ANOVA

- Different subjects in each condition or cell of the design
	- **–** 2 dimensions: subjects and variables

3.1.2 Between-subjects ANOVA: Partitioning

- Partition the variation in scores into:
	- $-$ between-subject portion (group differences, $SS_{between}$)
	- within-subject portion (error, SS_{within})
	- $-SS_{total} = SS_{between} + SS_{within}$

3.1.3 Repeated-measures

- 1. Measure the **same DV** over **time**
	- e.g., anxiety level at 1 wk intervals after starting medication
- 2. Measure the **same DV** in each of a **set of related conditions**
	- e.g., anxiety level after CBT, after medication, etc.
- Multiple outcome measures that are **related**
	- **–** Measured on the same person (not independent)
	- **–** MANOVA: **related** dependent variables

3.1.4 Repeated-measures ANOVA

- Subjects are repeatedly measured / same subject in all conditions
	- $-$ 3 dimensions: subjects, variables (Y_1) , treatment or time (T)

3.1.5 Two ways to do repeated-measures ANOVA

- Univariate:
	- **–** Standard repeated measures ANOVA
	- **–** Treats the outcome as **one variable** that is **measured repeatedly**
- Multivariate:
	- **–** Treats the outcome as a **multivariate outcome**
		- ∗ Single outcome made up of **several (related) variables**
			- · Sound familiar?

3.1.6 Univariate: subjects, repeated measures

- Single outcome variable Y
	- **–** "Univariate"
- T (time or treatment) is a predictor
	- $-$ Specific levels: $1, 2, ..., k$
- Also called "tall" or "stacked" data format
	- **–** Used in mixed models (next week)

3.1.7 Univariate: subjects, repeated measures

3.1.8 Multivariate: subjects, repeated measures

- Several related outcome variables Y
	- **–** "Multivariate"
- T (time or treatment) is not an explicit predictor
	- **–** Treated like waves
- Also called "wide" data format
	- **–** Used in MANOVA

3.1.9 Multivariate: subjects, repeated measures

3.2 Univariate RM ANOVA

3.2.1 Univariate approach to repeated measures

- Partition variation in scores into:
	- **–** Between-**subject** variation
	- **–** Within-subject variation, which is further partitioned into:
		- ∗ Treatment (or time) effects for individuals
		- ∗ Residual or random error

3.2.2 Univariate approach to repeated measures

- Y_{ij} = score for person *i* at time or treatment *j*
- \overline{T}_j = mean score for treatment or time j
	- $-$ Up to k treatments or times
- \bar{P}_i = mean score for person i
- Up to *n* subjects
- \overline{G} = grand mean of all scores

3.2.3 Between-subjects variation

• Individual subjects' variation around the **grand mean**

$$
SS_{between\ subject} = k \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{P}_{i} - \overline{G})^{2}
$$

=
$$
k [(\overline{P}_{1} - \overline{G})^{2} + (\overline{P}_{2} - \overline{G})^{2} + \cdots + (\overline{P}_{k} - \overline{G})^{2}]
$$

- Similar to between-**groups** variation in ANOVA, but no groups here
	- **–** People are "groups"

3.2.4 Within-subjects variation

- Individual subjects' variation around **their mean**
- For person i :

$$
SS_{within\ person\ i} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (Y_{ij} - \overline{P}_i)^2
$$

$$
(Y_{i1} - \overline{P}_i)^2 + (Y_{i2} - \overline{P}_i)^2 + \dots + (Y_{ik} - \overline{P}_i)^2
$$
• Add up across all *n* subjects:
$$
SS_{within\ subject} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (Y_{ij} - \overline{P}_i)^2
$$

3.2.5 Within-subjects variation

- Within-subjects variation = **time (or treatment)** + **residual**
- Time variation $=$ timepoint mean variation around grand mean

$$
SS_{time} = n \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\overline{T}_j - \overline{G})^2
$$

=
$$
n[(\overline{T}_1 - \overline{G})^2 + (\overline{T}_2 - \overline{G})^2 + \dots + (\overline{T}_k - \overline{G})^2]
$$

3.2.6 Within-subjects variation

- Within-subjects variation = **time (or treatment)** + **residual**
- Residual variation $=$ any remaining variation

$$
SS_{residual} = SS_{time \times subject} = SS_{within\ subject} - SS_{time}
$$

3.2.7 Full partitioning of variation

• Keep in mind: No groups here at all

Source	SS		MS	
Between Within	$SS_{between\ subject}n-1$	$SS_{within\ subject}$ $n(k-1)$	$\mathit{MS}_{between\ subject}$ $\overline{MS_{within\ subject}}$	
$-Time$	SS_{time}	$k-1$	MS_{time}	MS_{time} $MS_{residual}$
-Residual	$SS_{residual}$	$(n-1)(k-1)$ $MS_{residual}$		

 $SS_{total} = SS_{between\ subject} + SS_{time} + SS_{residual}$

3.2.8 Mixed effects ANOVA

- Between-subjects $+$ within-subjects $=$ "mixed ANOVA"
	- **–** Unfortunate: too easy to confuse with "mixed models"
		- ∗ Also have several other names: Next week
- You can have BOTH within and between subjects factors in ANOVA
	- **–** e.g., group (between) and time (within)
- Also look at the interaction
	- **–** Does time effect vary across groups? Or vice versa?

3.2.9 Assumptions of univariate RM ANOVA

• About the covariance matrix of the outcomes

$$
\mathbf{S}_{YY} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} & \sigma_{14} \\ & \sigma_2^2 & \sigma_{23} & \sigma_{24} \\ & & \sigma_3^2 & \sigma_{34} \\ & & & \sigma_4^2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

- σ_1^2 = variance of outcome at time 1 / treatment 1 - σ_{12} = covariance between outcome at time /treatment 1 and outcome at time / treatment 2

3.2.10 Compound symmetry and sphericity

- **Compound symmetry** of the covariance matrix of outcomes
	- **–** Homogeneity of variances (i.e., variances are all the same): 2

*
$$
\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma_3^2 = \sigma_4^2
$$

– Homogeneity of covariances (i.e., covariances are all the same):

 $* \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{13} = \sigma_{14} = \sigma_{23} = \sigma_{24} = \sigma_{34}$

- Actual assumption: **Sphericity**
	- **–** Compound symmetry holds for **differences** between pairs of scores
	- **–** Slightly weaker assumption

3.2.11 Plausibility of sphericity assumption

- T_1 through T_k are different trials or conditions in a *single session*
	- **–** Sphericity may be plausible
- T_1 through T_k are *different time points*
	- **–** Say, 9th, 10th, 11th, and 12th grades
	- **–** Probably expect T1 and T2 to be more alike that T1 and T4
	- **–** Sphericity is probably not very plausible

3.2.12 Violations of Assumptions

- Even if sphericity is plausible, it still may be **violated**
	- **–** Very small violations can **greatly increase type I error rate**
- How to deal with violation of sphericity?
	- **–** Adjust for violations of sphericity to return alpha to .05
	- **–** Use **multivariate test** of repeated measures (next section)

3.2.13 Adjusting for sphericity violations

- **Lower bound correction**: Most conservative
	- **–** Ignore repeated measures, treat as between subjects
	- Use critical $F(1, n 1)$
- **Greenhouse-Geisser**: Middle of the road
	- $−$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ ranges from $\frac{1}{k-1}$ (severe violation) to 1 (sphericity)
	- Multiply degrees of freedom by $\hat{\epsilon}$
- **Huynh-Feldt**: Least conservative, smallest adjustment
	- Multiply degrees of freedom by $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (function of $\hat{\epsilon}$)

3.3 Multivariate RM ANOVA

3.3.1 Mutlivariate approach to repeated measures

- Multivariate extension of *paired t-test*
- Basically a MANOVA on specific set of difference scores
	- **–** Multivariate tests (i.e., Wilks' lambda) as in MANOVA

3.3.2 Vector of differences

• $k-1$ differences between combinations of k repeated scores

– Must be **linearly independent**

- **–** Most common: Differences between adjacent pairs of means
- For a single subject i :

$$
\underline{Y}'_{id} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{i1} \\ d_{i2} \\ d_{i3} \\ \vdots \\ d_{i,k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{i1} - Y_{i2} \\ Y_{i2} - Y_{i3} \\ Y_{i3} - Y_{i4} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{i,k-1} - Y_{ik} \end{bmatrix}
$$

3.3.3 Matrix of difference scores

- $n \times (k-1)$ matrix of difference scores is matrix of outcomes
	- **–** Rows are subjects, columns are difference scores
	- k repeated measures: $k 1$ difference scores

$$
\mathbf{Y}_d = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & \ldots & d_{1,k-1} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & \ldots & d_{2,k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{n1} & d_{n2} & \ldots & d_{n,k-1} \end{bmatrix}
$$

3.3.4 Covariance matrix of differences

- $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ covariance matrix of differences
	- $s_{d_1}^2$ is the variance of the $(T_1 T_2)$ scores across *n* subjects
	- $s_{d_1 d_2}$ is the covariance between $(T1 T2)$ and $(T2 T3)$
	- **–** Unlike univariate test, **no assumptions about this matrix**
- For 4 timepoints, this is a 3×3 matrix:

$$
\mathbf{S}_d=\begin{bmatrix} s_{d_1}^2 & s_{d_1d_2} & s_{d_1d_3}\\ & s_{d_2}^2 & s_{d_2d_3}\\ & & s_{d_3}^2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

3.3.5 Null hypothesis

• H_0 : $k-1$ vectors of **mean differences** are simultaneously

– All equal to each other AND all equal to 0

- NS test $=$ no differences over time
	- **–** All mean differences are 0
	- **–** No adjacent differences are different from one another
- Significant test $=$ differences over time
	- **–** Some of the mean differences are not 0
	- **–** Some adjacent differences are different from one another

3.3.6 Multivariate hypothesis tests

- Perform a MANOVA on the difference score matrix
	- **–** Multivariate hypothesis tests:
		- ∗ Wilks' lambda
		- ∗ Pillai's trace
		- ∗ Hotelling's trace
		- ∗ Roy's largest characteristic root

3.4 Summary and comparison

3.4.1 Summary

- Univariate RM ANOVA
	- **–** Single, repeatedly measured outcome
	- **–** Sphericity assumption
- Multivariate RM ANOVA
	- **–** Multiple, related outcomes
	- **–** No sphericity assumption

3.4.2 Comparison

- Univariate approach: $F(k-1, (n-1)(k-1))$
	- **–** Assumptions about covariance matrix (sphericity)
		- ∗ But can adjust if assumptions not met
	- **–** Missing data results in loss of entire subject
- Multivariate approach: $F(k-1, n-k+1)$
	- **–** No assumptions about structure of covariance matrix
		- ∗ (except that $n \geq k$ so it is invertable)
	- **–** Missing data results in loss of entire subject

3.4.3 Recommendations: univariate vs. multivariate

- Univariate is preferred with small sample sizes
	- \sim Sphericity holds (rare): More powerful, simpler, correct α
	- $-$ ALWAYS use univariate (with correction) if $n < k$
- Multivariate is preferred with large sample sizes
	- If sphericity doesn't hold (common): correct α
	- Do not use unless $n \geq k$
		- ∗ With BS factors: *n* in each BS group needs to be $\geq k$

4 Summary

4.1 Summary

4.1.1 Summary of this week

- MANOVA is a way to analyze multiple outcomes in one model
	- **–** Almost never a good choice
	- **–** Limited utility for repeated measures
- RM ANOVA has univariate and multivariate versions
	- **–** Univariate has some easily violated assumptions
	- **–** Multivariate is good but still limited
	- **–** Missing data, ANOVA framework only, time

4.1.2 Next few weeks

- RM ANOVA (both) have shortcomings
	- **–** Best for short-term or single-session studies
	- **–** Does not capture the TIME aspect of longitudinal data
	- **–** Requires same # of repeated measures for each subject
	- **–** Not informative about individual growth
	- **–** Focus on **average** differences over time and group differences
	- **–** ANOVA framework, so only categorical predictors
- Mixed models, latent growth models solve many of these issues